

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/682,880	10/	29/2001	Minfeng Xu	15MG5559-XUI	1809	
25889	7590	05/13/2004		EXAM	INER	
WILLIAM (_		TUGBANG, A	NTHONY D	
COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ROSLYN, NY 11576				3729		
				DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)
		09/682,880	0	XU ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
		A. Dexter 1	Tugbang	3729
Daniadi	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence address
	for Reply HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F		NEVDIDE 4 MON	ITU(C) EDOM
THE - Ex afte - If the - Fa An	EMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN tensions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this complex period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum silure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months, the property of the property received by the Office later than three months, and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. 30) days, a reply within the statut tatutory period will apply and will y will, by statute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply tory minimum of thirty (30 expire SIX (6) MONTHS cation to become ABANI	be timely filed 3) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
<u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on		
•	•	ed on 2b)□ This action is no	n-final	
/—	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		prosecution as to the merits is
حار ∪	closed in accordance with the pract	•		
Dianosi				
-	ition of Claims			•
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the			
- \[4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from con-	isideration.	
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
_	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			•
7) <u></u>	. ,	ion and/or alastian requ	uiromont	
o)l△	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requ	ullernent.	
Applica	tion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b) [objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is required	d if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d
11)[The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Not	te the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreian priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).
•	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	3p	3	
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	received in Appl	ication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documer	nts have been red	ceived in this National Stage
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule	: 17.2(a)).	
*	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certifi	ied copies not red	ceived.
Attachme			L	
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) lail Date
2)			, upc, 110(3)/10	
· ==	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (i ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o	r PTO/SB/08)		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Species A, directed to providing and utilizing a single correction coil to reduce lower order harmonics, in Claims 1-7;

Species B, directed to providing and utilizing a plurality, or set of, correction coils to specifically reduce first and second order harmonics, in Claims 8-13;

Species C, directed to repeating steps of determining the field inhomogeneity, adjusting locations of the main and buckling coils, and adjusting the currents in the correction coils, in Claims 14 and 16; and

Species D, directed to a first set of repeating steps of determining the field inhomogeneity and a second set of repeating steps of determining the field inhomogeneity, and adjusting the currents in the correction coils, in Claims 15 and 17.

NOTE: The features recited in each of the Species above are mutually exclusive from each other.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 09/682,880

Art Unit: 3729

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 2. inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/682,880

Art Unit: 3729

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 703-308-7599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729

May 12, 2004